Software Verification and Testing

Lecture Notes: Z III

Schemas

remark: we have used Z-definitions for defining relations and functions

question: how can one structure and combine system descriptions in a specification?

approach: schemas are used for this purpose in Z

this lecture: schemas explained via simple specification examples

common distinction:

- state schemas define variables of a system and their relations
- operation schemas define the functions that change the state of a system

remark: useful for defining abstract data types

Schemas

general syntax:

remarks:

- variables can be listed or separated by semicolons
- predicates in different lines are implicitly conjoined

example: Wolfgang is a dedicated collector of books

- he has so many books that he tends to buy duplicates
- he estimates that his flat is big enough for 2000 books only
- he needs a database to manage his collection

basic type: [BOOK]

axiomatic definition:

$$max_size : \mathbb{N}$$

$$max_size = 2000$$

state schema for collection

```
BookCollection \_ \\ collection : \mathbb{P} BOOK \\ \# collection \leq max\_size
```

explanation:

- the schema name is BookCollection
- ullet the variable collection is declared as a set of elements of type [Book]
- ullet the system invariant expresses that the size of the collection must not exceed max_size

schemas and types: BookCollection (with its operations) is an abstract datatype

- b:BookCollection says that b is a book-collection
- $(b.\ collection \leadsto \{PaleFire,\ Crash,\ Waverley\})$ denotes that the current collection b is bound to the values $PaleFire,\ Crash$ and Waverley
- ullet we write the binding associated with schema S as θS
- we can identify a state schema with its set of bindings

schemas and declarations:

- schemas can be used in declarations, e.g., $\{b: BookCollection \mid \#b. \ collection = 41\}$ or $\{BookCollection \mid \# \ collection = 41 \bullet \ collection\}$
- the possible bindings of a schema are constrained by the declaration

schemas and predicates: schemas can be used as predicates, .i.e. BookCollection defines the subset of all possible book collections that contain no more than 2000 books

an operation schema:

```
BuyBook \_
\Delta BookCollection; newbook? : BOOK
newbook? \notin collection
collection' = collection \cup \{newbook?\}
```

explanation:

- inputs to operations are written in?; outputs to operations are written out!
- ullet ΔS denotes that the state variables of schema S are imported; their values may change
- ullet ΞS denotes that imported variables may not change their values
- unprimed and primed variables denote the state before and after the operation

preconditions and postconditions:

- $newbook? \notin collection$ is a precondition of the operation BuyBook; it must hold before the operation can be executed
- $collection' = collection \cup \{newbook?\}$ is a postcondition of the operation; it must hold after the operation has been executed
- a further derived precondition comes from the schema BookCollection: $\# collection < max_size$ (otherwise the invariant is violated)

discussion: the analysis of pre- and postconditions is essential for verification

- initialisation analysis: system constraints are satisfiable
- safety analysis: operations are never applied outside their domains

question: what if the preconditions are violated?

answer: exception handling

• three possible situations

```
\# \ collection < max\_size \land newbook? \in collection
\# \ collection = max\_size \land newbook? \not\in collection
\# \ collection = max\_size \land newbook? \in collection
```

exception type ("disjoint union")

 $RESPONSE ::= bookowned \mid nospace \mid bookowned_and_nospace \mid success$

exception schemas: book is already owned

```
AlreadyOwned
\Xi BookCollection
newbook?:BOOK
reply!:RESPONSE
\# collection < max\_size
newbook? \in collection
reply! = bookowned
```

exception schemas: no more space

```
NoSpace
\equiv BookCollection
newbook?:BOOK
reply!:RESPONSE
\# collection = max\_size
newbook? \not\in collection
reply! = nospace
```

exception schemas: no more space and already owned

```
 \begin{array}{l} \_OwnedNoSpace \_ \\ \_EBookCollection \\ newbook?:BOOK \\ reply!:RESPONSE \\ \hline \\ \# collection = max\_size \\ newbook? \in collection \\ reply! = bookowned\_and\_nospace \\ \end{array}
```

buying schema: (incl. success message and explicit preconditions)

```
BuyBook \\ \triangle BookCollection \\ newbook? : BOOK \\ reply! : RESPONSE \\ \# collection < max\_size \\ newbook? \not\in collection \\ collection' = collection \cup \{newbook?\} \\ reply! = success
```

full buying schema:

 $BuyingBook \cong BuyBook \lor AlreadyOwned \lor NoSpace \lor OwnedNoSpace$

• more on schema combination later. . .

initialisation: (after initialisation the collection is empty)

 $BookCollectionInit \\ BookCollection' \\ collection' = \varnothing$

basic types:

```
[GUEST]
```

 $HOTEL ::= Room1 \mid Room2 \mid \cdots \mid Room15$

 $RESPONSE ::= success \mid fullybooked \mid notaguest$

state schema:

```
Hotel\_
guests: \mathbb{P}\ GUEST
freerooms: \mathbb{P}\ HOTEL
bookedrooms: \mathbb{P}\ HOTEL
\_\ occupies\_: GUEST \leftrightarrow HOTEL
guests = \text{dom}\ occupies
bookedrooms = \text{ran}\ occupies
freerooms = HOTEL \setminus bookedrooms
```

initialisation: (after initialisation the hotel is empty)

```
InitHotel
Hotel'
occupies' = \varnothing
bookedrooms' = \varnothing
freerooms' = HOTEL
```

• postconditions are not irredundant. . .

operation schemas: booking a room

```
Book
\Delta Hotel
guest?: GUEST
room!: HOTEL
reply!: RESPONSE

room! \in freerooms
occupies' = occupies \cup \{guest? \mapsto room!\}
reply! = success
```

operation schemas: booking error

```
BookError \_
\Xi Hotel
reply! : RESPONSE
freerooms = \varnothing
reply! = fullybooked
```

operation schemas: checking out the hotel

```
\begin{array}{c} CheckOut \\ \Delta \ Hotel \\ guest?: GUEST \\ reply!: RESPONSE \\ \\ \hline guest? \in guests \\ occupies' = \{guest?\} \lessdot occupies \\ reply! = success \end{array}
```

operation schemas: check out error

extension: a tab collects room service, drinks, etc for a room

basic types:

[GUEST]

 $HOTEL ::= Room1 \mid Room2 \mid \cdots \mid Room15$

 $RESPONSE ::= success \mid fullybooked \mid notaguest \mid wrongnumber \mid is added to tab$

state schema:

```
Hotel\_
guests: \mathbb{P}\ GUEST
freerooms: \mathbb{P}\ HOTEL
bookedrooms: \mathbb{P}\ HOTEL
\_\ occupies\_: GUEST \leftrightarrow HOTEL
\_\ tab\_: HOTEL \to \mathbb{N}
guests = \mathrm{dom}\ occupies
bookedrooms = \mathrm{ran}\ occupies
freerooms = HOTEL \setminus bookedrooms
```

initialisation:

```
InitHotel
Hotel'
occupies' = \varnothing
bookedrooms' = \varnothing
freerooms' = HOTEL
tab' = \varnothing
```

operation schemas: booking a room

```
Book \\ \Delta \ Hotel \\ guest?: GUEST \\ room!: HOTEL \\ reply!: RESPONSE \\ \\ \hline room! \in freerooms \\ occupies' = occupies \cup \{guest? \mapsto room!\} \\ tab' = tab \oplus \{room! \mapsto 0\} \\ reply! = success
```

operation schemas: booking error

```
\_BookError \_
\Xi Hotel
reply!: RESPONSE
```

 $free rooms = \varnothing$ reply! = fully booked

operation schemas: checking out

```
CheckOut\_
\Delta Hotel
guest?: GUEST
bill!: \mathbb{N}
reply!: RESPONSE
\exists b : \mathbb{N} \bullet
  guest? \in guests
   guest?(occupies \cente{guest})b
   bill! = b
   occupies' = \{guest?\} \lhd occupies
  tab' = tab
  reply! = success
```

operation schemas: check out error

```
\_CheckOutError ______
```

 $\Xi Hotel$

guest?: GUEST

reply!: RESPONSE

 $guest? \not\in guests$

reply! = notaguest

operation schemas: adding to tab

operation schemas: error for adding to tab

```
AddToTabError ______
```

 $\Xi Hotel$

room?: HOTEL

reply!: RESPONSE

 $room? \not\in bookedrooms$

reply! = notaguest

remarks:

- various other design possibilities
- narrow types can lead to simpler specifications
- should pre- postconditions be explicit or implicit?

question: what about a hotel with n rooms?

answer: schemas must be parametrised. . .

example: stack of arbitrary values implemented on sequence

```
Buffer [X] \_
buffer : seq X
size : \mathbb{N}
max\_size : \mathbb{N}
size = \#buffer
size \le max\_size
```

initialisation:

 $BufferInit [X] _$ Buffer'[X] $buffer' = \langle \rangle$

invariant: capacity remains unchanged

insertion: add new value at top of stack

```
BufferIn [X] \_
UpdateBuffer[X]
x? : X
size < max\_size
buffer' = x? : buffer
```

Generic Schemas

deletion: take value at top of stack

```
BufferOut [X] \_
UpdateBuffer[X]
x! : X
buffer \neq \langle \rangle
buffer' = tail \ buffer
x! = head \ buffer
```

idea: define operations on schemas for further structuring

- inclusion
- disjunction
- conjunction
- negation
- decoration
- change of state
- renaming
- hiding

two schemas:

 $oxed{a:\mathbb{N}}$

 $a \leq 4$

 T_{-}

 $b:\mathbb{N}$

b = 5

inclusion: (types must match!)

 $_SinclT$ _____

S

 $b:\mathbb{N}$

b=5

SinclT _____

 $a,b:\mathbb{N}$

 $(a \le 4) \land (b = 5)$

disjunction: (types must match!)

$$\begin{array}{c}
S \lor T \\
a, b : \mathbb{N} \\
\hline
(a \le 4) \lor (b = 5)
\end{array}$$

conjunction: (same effect as inclusion, types must match!)

$$\begin{array}{c}
S \wedge T \\
a, b : \mathbb{N} \\
\hline
(a \leq 4) \wedge (b = 5)
\end{array}$$

negation:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\neg S \\
a : \mathbb{N} \\
\\
\neg (a \le 4)
\end{array}$$

decoration: (introduction of "after" states)

S'		
$a':\mathbb{N}$		
$a' \le 4$	-	

delta and xi:

- $\Delta S \stackrel{\frown}{=} S \wedge S'$
- ullet ΞS requires that the state of S remains unchanged

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Xi S \\
\Delta S \\
\theta S = \theta S'
\end{array}$$

renaming:

 $\begin{array}{c}
S[x/a] \\
x : \mathbb{N} \\
\\
x \le 4
\end{array}$

hiding:

$$\exists a : \mathbb{N} \bullet a \leq 4$$

Conclusion

what we have learned:

- Z is a powerful and versatile specification language
- Z specifications can be seen as "non-executable programs"
- properties of specifications can be analysed within first-order logic, set theory and relational calculus
- induction is a powerful tool for reasoning about abstract data types
- working with Z requires some mathematical skills

Conclusion

what we have not learned:

- formal refinement from Z specifications to executable code
- formal pre- and postcondition analysis in Z
- extension of Z to concurrent and reactive systems
- extension of Z to OO-analysis

UML vs Z: what would you use for developing

- a small, but complex safety-critical system?
- a huge data-management system?