

## Lazy Systematic Unit Testing: JWalk versus JUnit

Walk is a lazy systematic unit testing tool U[1]. This is based on lazy specification, inferring a continuously changing specification from rapidly evolving code, by dynamic code analysis and programmer interaction, and systematic testing, generating complete test-sets that exercise and validate the state-space of the classunder-test (CUT) exhaustively to bounded depths [2].

challenge was set up to contrast the effectiveness of semi-automated testing with JWalk against expert manual testing using JUnit [3], the most widely used testing tool in the agile community.

wo related pairs of CUTs were tested, including a simple LinkedStack, later modified as a BoundedStack (a code

evolution); and a LibraryBook, later extended as a ReservableBook (by inheritance). The competing testers were asked to develop "complete tests" for each initial class. Later, JWalk was allowed to propose further tests for the modified or extended versions.

he table below shows how interactive oracle confirmation in JWalk covered more unique cases (in less time) than the manual assertions thought up by the expert cases for rapid review by the tester, and for JUnit. JWalk then automatically tested has much higher coverage than traditional all state-transition paths to depth 3,

compared against slightly less than the transition cover for JUnit (nullops were not tested; two assertions were non-unique).

When retesting the modified or extended versions, JWalk found all additional observations on novel method interleavings, confirmed in under 18 minutes, and then tested up to 1732 paths automatically. JWalk makes better use of test automation, proposing all key test regression testing.

Class-under-test	API size	JUnit asserts	JWalk oracles	JWalk total
LinkedStack	6	9	24	220
BoundedStack	7	N/A	+35	645
LibraryBook	5	11	20	138
ReservableBook	9	N/A	+167	1732



<sup>[2]</sup> A. J. H. Simons, JWalk: a tool for lazy systematic testing of Java classes

by introspection and user interaction, Automated Software Engineering, 14 (4), December, ed. B. Nuseibeh, (Springer, USA, 2007), in press.