A computer model of perceptual compensation for reverberation: evaluation on a consonant identification task
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Introduction

Watkins (2005) has shown that listeners use information about the preceding context of a reverberated test word to help them identify it. This suggests a mechanism of perceptual constancy that confers robustness in reverberant environments. Watkins’ experiments focused on one particular speech identification task ('sir' or 'stir'), and used a synthesised continuum to measure the 'sir'/‘stir’ category boundary.

Here we address the following research questions:

- Is perceptual compensation for the effects of reverberation also apparent in a more naturalistic consonant discrimination task (‘/t/’ vs. ‘/k/’)?
- How does the reverberation-robustness of a conventional automatic speech recognition (ASR) system compare with human listeners?
- Does an auditory model with an efferent processing circuit effect compensation for reverberation in a similar manner to human listeners?
- Our eventual aim is to build a human-like ‘constancy front-end’ for ASR.

Test Material

Test material was drawn from the Articulation Index (AI) corpus (Wright, 2005).

- 80 utterances of the form CW1 CW2 TEST CW3
- Context words (CW) were drawn from a limited set and the test word was SIR, SKUR, SPUR or STIR.
- All utterances were low-pass filtered to 4 kHz to avoid ceiling effect when testing for consonant confusions.
- Perceptual constancy was investigated by varying reverberation of the context words and test words independently, as described by Watkins (2005).
- The reverberation was varied according to the source-receiver distance in an L-shaped conference room (impulse responses recorded by Watkins).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test word distance</th>
<th>Context distance</th>
<th>0.32m</th>
<th>10m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>near-near</td>
<td>0.32m</td>
<td>10m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>near-far</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>far-near</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>far-far</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After low-pass filtering and convolution with the room impulse response, a filter was applied to correct for the response of the headphones used in listening tests.

Detailed perceptual studies are reported in a companion poster.

Speech Recogniser

A speech recogniser was developed using the hidden Markov model toolkit (http://htk.eng.cam.ac.uk/).

- Phone-level (rather than word-level) recognition was required in order to assess consonant confusions.
- 39 monophone models were trained, with observations modelled with 20 Gaussian mixtures per state.
- In the AI corpus, phonetic transcriptions are only provided for the target words. The context words were expanded to a phone sequence using the CMU pronunciation dictionary (http://www.speech.cs.cmu.edu/cgi-bin/cmudict).

The recogniser was initially trained on the TIMIT corpus (which is provided with detailed phonetic transcriptions) and then further enhanced training was performed on the AI corpus.

A baseline ASR system was trained using 12 MFCC features or 13 DCT-transformed auditory features, plus deltas and accelerations.

Semi-forced alignment was used; the recogniser was told the identity of the context words and was required to identify the test word only.

Auditory Model

- The auditory model is a modification of the Ferry & Meddis (2007) model of auditory efferent processing.

  Stimulus
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  DCT
  
  Frame & DCT
  
  ASR system
  
  Dynamic range of response to context determines amount of attenuation during test word
  
  Efferent activity is modelled as an attenuation in the nonlinear path of a dual-resonance nonlinear filter-bank (DRNL).
  
  The amount of efferent attenuation is determined by measuring the dynamic range of the preceding speech context.
  
  The model has previously been shown to give a good match to listener data in Watkins’ (2005) ‘sir’/‘stir’ identification task (Beeston & Brown, 2010).

Evaluation

- Human and machine performance were compared in terms of percentage error and relative information transfer (RIT).
- RIT is an information-theoretic metric that reflects the distribution of errors in the confusion matrix.
- The subject (human or ASR system) is regarded as a channel that accepts input and produces output, and RIT measures its information transfer characteristics:
  
  RIT = H(X:Y)/H(X)

- H(X:Y) is the average mutual information of the input and output random variables.
- H(X) is the entropy of the input distribution.

Results

Experiment 1: Comparison of human performance and baseline ASR system

- Human listeners show perceptual compensation; for a ‘far’ test word (10m) percentage error is high with a ‘near’ context but lower with a ‘far’ context.
- This pattern is also observed in the RIT metric (i.e. compensation is apparent as an improvement in the pattern of confusions made by listeners).

- As expected the baseline ASR system has a higher overall error rate than human listeners and does not show compensation.
- For the ASR system, errors are directly related to the amount of reverberation in the test word (error in near-near < far-near < near-far < far-far).

Experiment 2: Auditory model performance with and without efferent circuit

- When the efferent circuit is not engaged, the auditory model behaves similarly to the baseline MFCC system.
- Percentage error is slightly higher, most likely due to nonlinear (level-dependent) behaviour of the DRNL.
- When the efferent circuit is engaged, ‘far’ context confusions are reduced by 4dB in the test word.
- This leads to a small amount of compensation, measured as reduced percentage error in the far-far condition compared to the near-far condition.
- However, compensation is not apparent when measured in terms of RIT.

Conclusions and Future Work

- The effect of reverberation on a consonant identification task has been assessed for human listeners and an ASR system.
- Human listeners use information about the preceding context of speech to effect compensation for a reverberated test word; conventional ASR systems do not.
- A computer model in which efferent suppression is mediated by the dynamic range of the preceding context shows limited perceptual compensation.
- Future work will focus on frequency-dependent efferent suppression in the computer model.
- We will extend this paradigm to study a wider range of consonant confusions.
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